

Eddie Sleeper

From: Elizabeth Jesse <elizjesse@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Wednesday, May 30, 2018 11:17 AM
To: Rep. Gary Glenn (District 98)
Cc: Rep. Roger Hauck (District 99); Rep. Tom Barrett (District 71); Rep. Triston Cole (District 105); Rep. Jim Tedder (District 43); Rep. Joseph Bellino, Jr. (District 17); Rep. Diana Farrington (District 30); Rep. Beth Griffin (District 66); Rep. Steven Johnson (District 72); Rep. Beau LaFave (District 108); Rep. James Lower (District 70); Rep. John Reilly (District 46); Rep. Donna Lasinski (District 52); Rep. Scott Dianda (District 110); Rep. LaTanya Garrett (District 7); Rep. Darrin Camilleri (District 23); Rep. Brian Elder (District 96); Rep. Patrick Green (District 28); Rep. Tim Sneller (District 50); Eddie Sleeper
Subject: 5G

Dear Committe Chair Glenn,

My husband and I were at the hearing, yesterday, regarding the 5G Bills. I was unable to speak, due to the time restraints, but my notes were submitted to the Clerk. I want to thank you for allowing all of the esteemed speakers time to talk. I was especially happy that Dafna Tachover was given time, along with her guest, and the speakers from Canada.

In my notes, I explained that my husband has cancer, that has traveled to his spine. He was extremely uncomfortable in your hard guest chairs, yesterday, so we will bring cushions next time! However, my real point is that he sat through the entire mornig and afternoon hearings, in pain, but I noticed many of the committee members did not. They frequently got up and left when the speakers were talking. Therefore, they missed parts of some crucial testimony. I found this alarming. Will they be listening to a recording of the hearing, in its entirety, at a later time? I hope they will.

I was also very sad to hear the pro-bill people out in the lobby, after the morning hearings, laughing at us for calling the emmissions from 5G "radiation." The emissions from 5G are radiation. This is why the FCC has any guidelines at all.

Speaking of the FCC, and the man who sells this technology, and his testimony--the current FCC guidelines are archaic. The decisions for the current recommendations to Wi-Fi exposure were based on a plastic head, they call SAM. The head was filled with saline solution, and if a device did not heat the head by a certain number of degrees, then the device was deemed safe.

Currently, we may be able to "escape" Wi-Fi radiation in our homes, where we have some control. For instance, we have mitigated the Wi-Fi in our home. I have the measuring tools (meters) to check this out. The only thing I have been unable to elimintate, is the Wi-Fi from my neighbor's router, which he has placed in his window, 30 ft away from our bedroom window. In our room, I read more than 25 microwatts per square meter, from the neighbor's router. This doesn't sound like a lot if you only look at the FCC guidelines, but building biologists suggest that people sleep in rooms with less than 10 microwatts per square meter. My husbnad has stage 4 cancer, and I have some low thyroid issues and a fast pulse rate. There are studies that show the thyroid is affected by Wi-Fi, too. Therefore, we have sheilding material in that room. It only covers the bed, and it was very expensive, but my neighbor refuses to move the router. If the 5G antennas go up all over the place, you take away my choice to protect myself, and to protect my husband, who is already battling cancer. This is wrong.

What is even more wrong, though, is what this will do to our children and future generations. There is enough evidence available now to use caution with this technology. I am baffled that people do not see this. It is as if they have a veil over their eyes and ears, and all they see are money signs. I have all but lost hope that we can beat this, but it won't stop me from trying. Please vote NO on bills SB637 and SB894.

Blessings!

Elizabeth Jesse

[Elizabeth Jesse Wigs and Bio Hair Alternatives](#)

Eaton Rapids, MI 48827

517.231.3469